



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,682	03/20/2000	Mariagrazia Pizza	0342.105	5794
27476	7590 09/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Chiron Corporation			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
Intellectual Pro	Intellectual Property - R440			
P.O. Box 8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Emeryville, C	A 94662-8097		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/528,682	PIZZA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Michael Borin	1631						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 July 2004</u> .								
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.							
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allo			is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 7-32 is/are pending in the applica	tion.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-32 is/are without								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) 7-29 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	··· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)L	All b) Some * c) None of:	amén haya ha an asasiya d							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>								
			· ·						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•							
Attach====	(4)								
Attachment 1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ) □ Intonia	Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date						
3) L Inform Paner	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	708) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and To									

Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

## Status of the claims

1. Claims 7-30 are pending.

Claims 30-32 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to non-elected species. Examiner agrees that upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to the additional species.

Art Unit: 1631

#### **Drawings**

2. Applicant arguments regarding Fig. 12 are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record. Further, it is noticed applicants acknowledge that Fig. 12 does add new matter (see p. 8, second paragraph of the response).

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

3. Claims 7-29 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recite 8-meres of SEQ ID Nó. 1. The specification as filed addressed fragments of LT-A in general, but have not addressed fragments of particular size as now claimed.

Applicant submits that "the octapeptide recitations have been removed from the claims". This is not correct. Recitation of particular sequences has been removed from the claims but the claims remain to be directed to fragments of particular length, of 8-meres and more, that has not been disclosed in specification as filed.

Art Unit: 1631

4. Claims 7-29 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as filed addresses polynucleotides encoding full-length subunits of LT-A (p.7, lines 23-26) but does not describe polynucleotides encoding any other polypeptides comprising certain fragments of LT-A.

Applicant argues that the "fifth aspect of invention" reads includes fragments of a protein. However, as stated in specification:

According to a fifth aspect of the invention, there is provided a DNA sequence encoding an immunogenic detoxified protein according to the first aspect of the invention.

In turn, the "first aspect" as correctly cited by the applicant is drawn to protein or its fragments. The specification does not define the protein as being "a protein or its fragments"; rather it addresses protein on its own and then continues that the invention is also drawn to fragments of said protein. Thus, the "fifth aspect", the DNA encoding protein, reads on DNA encoding full-length protein, but not on DNA encoding any other polypeptides comprising certain fragments of the protein.

Art Unit: 1631

5. Claims 7-29 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims as amended are drawn to polynucleotides encoding polypeptides comprising specific immunogenic fragments of LT-A comprising at least eight residues and containing Arg in position corresponding to Ala72. Prior art teaches that LT-A derivatives having Arg72 remained to be toxic. See WO 93/13202, p. 46, line 51, or Pizza, 1993, p. 93, left column, last paragraph. The instant application demonstrates that full length LT-A has reduced toxicity as compared to wild-type, (Figs. 4,5), but does not demonstrate any octamers of LT-A that are detoxified compared to wild type LT-A. Further, there is no description in the claims or specification sufficiently identifying epitope sequence. Consequently, there is no guidance on what fragments are required to maintain immunogenecity of the fragments required by the claims. Accordingly, there are no sufficient structural characteristics for DNA encoding thereof, and correspondent vectors, and host cells and one skilled in the art would not know how to make the invention as claimed without undue experimentation.

Applicant argues by citing general methods of making and testing peptide fragments, but fails to demonstrate existence of at least one "detoxified" fragment. Examiner maintains that given the absence of any octamers of LT-A that

Art Unit: 1631

are detoxified compared to wild type LT-A, lack of guidance of what core structure is required for the fragments to have biological activity as claimed, and lack of predictability in prior art, one skilled in the art would not know how to make the invention as claimed without undue experimentation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103.

In view of amendment to the claims canceling recitation of particular sequences of fragments, art rejections of record are re-introduced as follows:

6. Claims 7-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 145486.

The patent teaches compositions and vaccines comprising modified LT-A of the following original sequences, respectively:

- 1 MKNITFIFFI LLASPLYANG DRLYRADSRP PDEIKRSGGL MPRGHNEYFD
- 51 RGTQMNINLY DHARGTE<u>TGF VRYDDG</u>YVST SLSLRSAHLA GQFILSGYST
- 101 YYIYVIATAP NMFNVNDVLG VYSPHPYEQE VSALGGIPYS QIYGWYRVNF
- 151 GVIDERLHRN REYRDRYYRN LNIAPAEDGY RLAGFPPDHQ AWREEPWIHH
- 201 APQGCGNSSR TITGDTCNEE TQNLSTIYLR EYQSKVKRQI FSDYQSQVDI

The referenced protein comprises sequence TGFVRYDDG (underlined), which is a fragment of subunit LT-A having Arg residue instead of Ala. The only meaning that Examiner reads into the limitation that the fragment comprises "amino acids residue corresponding to Ala-72 of SEQ ID No. 1" is that the residue which is being

Art Unit: 1631

replaced as claimed has to be a residue corresponding to Ala,, i.e., it has to be any Ala replaced by any, in this case, Arg residue. Any Ala residue will be "corresponding" to any other Ala residue because they are the same by their nature.

As the referenced protein presents a practical interest, as it can be used as an immunogenic stimulant and vaccine, one would be motivated to produce such protein recombinantly using conventional methods of molecular biology. Further, in regard to the second immunogenic component, because combination therapies for generation of immune response are well-known in the art and because it would have been desirable to use plural therapies in order to maximize the effectiveness. of the treatment, it would be 'prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to use the immunogenic subunit LT-A in combination with another immunogenic antigen. Modification to combine components all known to be useful as immunogenic agents would have been obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition which is to be useful for the very same purpose. Consequently, it would have been obvious to produce such conjugate protein recombinantly using conventional methods of molecular biology.

Art Unit: 1631

7. Claims 7-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Burnette et al (US Patent 5,770,203).

The reference teaches nucleic acid (SEQ ID No. 1) encoding cholera toxin, modified cholera toxins, nucleic acids, vectors and host cells corresponding thereto. The toxin comprises fragment 29-54 of the instantly claimed SEQ ID No. 1 (see sequence alignment attached), said fragment containing a plurality of Arg residues (see, e.g., residues 33, 54). Therefore, the reference teaches nucleic acid encoding fragment of LT-A containing Arg residue. Although the reference does not teach that the Arg residue originated from a replacement of an Ala residue, the referenced product satisfies the structural limitation of instant claim to contain an Arg residue. As to the description of the location of (nonexistent) Ala residue, the only meaning that Examiner reads into the limitation that the claimed product contains Arg residue which is located in place where any Ala residue might have been located as well.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 1631

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0713. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1631

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631